West Bengal: According to the existing policy of the Government the records under the custody of the Intelligence Bureau and Crimincal Investigation Department of the State cannot be thrown open to the researchers.

Punjab: The State Government has been requested to throw open records relating to persons and parties involved in the pre-Independence days in India.

Jammu & Kashmir: It is not possible for the State Government to implement the resolution at this stage.

Rajasthan: The Government have agreed to throw open the records upto 1945 but records of Police and C.I.D. are under the consideration of Government.

Karnataka: The Departments concerned will be addressed to take necessary action in this behalf.

Delhi: The records in question are inaccessible to bonafide research scholars as well as general public because they happen to be marked "Confidential" or "Secret" if they are more than 30 years old (sic). The administration is of the view that after 27 years of Independence it would be redundant to treat them as "Confidential" or "Secret" now. After appraisal these records would be thrown open to bonafide researchers with such restrictions as are necessary.

Resolution IX: Resolved that this body requests the State Governments to enact a law to bring under over-all supervision of the State Archives Services, records preserved by private bodies like maths, temples, churches, societies, libraries, museums, universities and learned institutions in their respective States.

Punjab: Unless all the 25 years old records of permanent value are retired either to the State Archives or regional repositories, the State Archives will not be in a position to bring under its supervision records of private bodies.

Andhra Pradesh: The State Government have been requested to issue orders for the enactment of law in this regard.

Jammu & Kashmir: The State Government have already been approached in this behalf.

Rajasthan: The matter is under consideration.

Karnataka: This matter has been taken up with the Government and request has been made to the Law Department to prepare a draft in this behalf.

West Bengal: The State Government does not consider it feasible at present to enact a law to bring under overall supervision of the State Archives records preserved by private bodies due to financial considerations. Moreover, the State Government is of the opinion that a uniform law in this respect embodying general principles enacted by the Government of India will be more effective than separate laws enacted by the different State legislatures.

Tamil Nadu: Necessary legislation on the administration of Archives in line with the recommendation of the Committee on Archival Legislation is yet to be introduced in this State.

Resolution XI: Resolved that all State Governments should evolve as early as possible a well articulated retirement policy for their records and prepare retention schedules for records at all levels in line with the rules of the Central Government.

Punjab: For evolving a well articulated retirement policy for records and for preparing their retention schedules in line with the rules of the Central Governments is still under consideration of the State Government.

Andhra Pradesh: Action is being taken to prepare retention schedules for Andhra Pradesh records at all levels in the line with the rules of the Central Governments as contained in the resolution cited.